CLCS Review Item Disposition

1. Initiator	LAST NAME FIRST	2. Type of Review	3. RID Number
Name	Feodoroff, Barry	☐ General Document Review	00200-118
Organization	LMSMSS	☒ PDR, CDR, **ABR**, PPR (circle)	
Phone	861-2233	☐ Other _____	
Fax			

5a. Doc. Number	84K00200	6. Doc. Name	System Level Specification (SLS)
5a. Doc. Revision	Pre-Release 1		

6. Name of RID Team	SLS RID Review Team

7. Problem

Paragraph 2.2.9.4 Maintenance Functions: Subparagraph 2.2.9.4.3 call for an on-line maintenance trend analysis and failure prediction capability. Since the CLCS RTPS has so many elements and interfaces to RTPS remote sites, and is capable of being configured into different "Test Sets", how good must this maintenance function be, or can it be done off-line..

8. Recommendation

Explain how good the maintenance trend analysis and failure prediction must be.

9. Impact if recommendation not implemented

Clarify the CLCS requirements.

_____ _____
Initiator - Signature   Submission Date

10. Team Recommendation	11. Action Required
☐ Accepted	☐ Update Document
☒ Rejected	☐ Study
☐ Study	☐ Other (specify) _____
☐ Withdrawn	
☐ Deferred to CLCS CCB Screening Panel	
Comments	**Comments**
See Attachment.	
RID Team Manager - Signature _____	

12. Final RID Closure Action	13. Additional Comments/Notes
☐ RID to be incorporated in next revision	
☐ RID to be incorporated in other (specify)	
RID Team Manager - Signature _____	

Due **NO LATER THAN** April 30, 1997

Response Attachment 200-118

The RID Management Team does not agree that the proposed change(s) provide a valid clarification nor satisfy a system specification omission. The RID is therefore rejected. Thank you for reviewing the SLS and submitting your RID. Even though we rejected this RID, your input is valuable and we appreciate it.